arXiv:0806.0487v1 [math.NT] 3 Jun 2008

LOWER BOUNDS FOR THE NORMALIZED HEIGHT AND NON-DENSE SUBSETS OF VARIETIES IN AN ABELIAN VARIETY

Evelina Viada[1] [2] [3]

Abstract. This work is the third part of a series of papers. In the first two we consider curves and varieties in a power of an elliptic curve. Here we deal with subvarieties of an abelian variety in general.

Let V be an irreducible variety of dimension d embedded in an abelian variety A, both defined over the algebraic numbers. We say that V is weak-transverse if V is not contained in any proper algebraic subgroup of A, and transverse if it is not contained in any translate of such a subgroup.

Assume a conjectural lower bound for the normalized height of V. For V transverse, we prove that the algebraic points of bounded height of V which lie in the union of all algebraic subgroups of A of codimension at least $d+1$ translated by the points close to a subgroup Γ of finite rank are non Zariski-dense in V. If Γ has rank zero, it is sufficient to assume that V is weak-transverse. The notion of closeness is defined using a height function.

1. INTRODUCTION

All varieties in this article are defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Denote by A a abelian variety of dimension g. Consider an irreducible algebraic subvariety V of A of dimension d. We say that

- V is *transverse*, if V is not contained in any translate of a proper algebraic subgroup of A.
- V is *weak-transverse*, if V is not contained in any proper algebraic subgroup of A.

As we are going to consider only algebraic points, we denote by $A = A(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $V = V(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. For a subset S of A, we denote by $\overline{S}$ its Zariski closure. Given a subset V^e of V, an integer k with $1 \leq k \leq g$ and a subset F of A, we define the set

$$S_k(V^e, F) = V^e \cap \bigcup_{\mathrm{cod} B \geq k} B + F, \tag{1}$$

where B varies over all abelian subvarieties of A of codimension at least k and

$$B + F = \{b + f \;\; : \;\; b \in B, \;\; f \in F\}.$$

We denote the set $S_k(V^e, A_{\mathrm{Tor}})$ simply by $S_k(V^e)$, where A_{Tor} is the torsion of A.

Nowadays a vast number of theorems and conjectures claim the non-density of sets of the type (1). Among others, we recall the Manin-Mumford, Mordell, Mordell-Lang, Bogomolov and Zilber-Pink Conjectures. For more literature one can look in the references of [12] or [13].

---

[1] Evelina Viada, Université de Fribourg Suisse, Pérolles, Département de Mathématiques, 23 Chemin du Musée, CH-1700 Fribourg, Suisse, evelina.viada@unifr.ch.

[2] Supported by the SNF (Swiss National Science Foundation).

[3] Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 11J95, 14K12, 11G50 and 11D45.

Key words: Abelian Varieties, Subvarieties, Heights, Diophantine approximation

Consider on A a semi-norm $||\cdot||$ induced by a height function. For $\varepsilon \geq 0$, we define

$$\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon = \{\xi \in A : ||\xi|| \leq \varepsilon\}$$

(Note that in the literature, often, the notation $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ corresponds to the set, we denote in this work, $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon^2}$). Let Γ be a subgroup of finite rank in A. We denote $\Gamma_\varepsilon = \Gamma + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$.

Following the school of Bombieri, Masser and Zannier [2], [3], [4], one can state the following:

Conjecture 1.1. *There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that:*

i. *If V is weak-transverse, then $S_{d+1}(V, \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon)$ is non Zariski-dense in V.*
ii. *If V is transverse, then $S_{d+1}(V, \Gamma_\varepsilon)$ is non Zariski-dense in V.*

For $\varepsilon = 0$ this conjecture gives special cases of the Zilber-Pink Conjecture. In view of several works, at present, it is clear that such a conjecture can be split in two parts; one for the height and the other for the non-density property.

Conjecture 1.2 (Bounded Height Conjecture)**.** *There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and a non empty Zariski-open set $V^0 \subset V$ such that:*

i. *If V is weak-transverse, then $S_{d+1}(V^0, \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon)$ has bounded height.*
ii. *If V is transverse, then $S_{d+1}(V^0, \Gamma_\varepsilon)$ has bounded height.*

For $K_0 \geq 0$, we denote

$$V_{K_0} = V \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_0}.$$

Conjecture 1.3 (Non-density Conjecture)**.** *For all reals K_0, there exists an effective $\varepsilon > 0$ such that:*

i. *If V is weak-transverse, then $S_{d+1}(V_{K_0}, \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon)$ is non Zariski-dense in V.*
ii. *If V is transverse, then $S_{d+1}(V_{K_0}, \Gamma_\varepsilon)$ is non Zariski-dense in V.*

In the first instance (see section 5.5), we prove:

Theorem 1.1. *Conjecture 1.3 i. and ii. are equivalent.*

That i. implies ii. is quite elementary. The other implication is delicate. It is worth to note that, on the contrary, Conjecture 1.2 i. and ii. are not equivalent. It is true that i. implies ii., but the reverse does not hold in general.

In their work, Bombieri, Masser and Zannier, introduce the toric analogue of Conjecture 1.1 ii. for $\Gamma = 0$ and $\varepsilon = 0$. They present a method to tackle the non-density question based on the use of Siegel's Lemma and of the Generalized Lehmer Conjecture (see [1]).

In our previous works [12] and [13] we present a different method for varieties in a power of an elliptic curve. Our method avoids Siegel's Lemma and the Generalized Lehmer Conjecture. We use instead Dirichlet's Theorem and an Effective Bogomolov Conjecture. Here we extend our method to sub-varieties of abelian vaireties in general.

Assume that V is not a union of translates of abelian subvarieties. The Bogomolov conjecture, nowadays a theorem of Zhang [14], claims

$$\mu(V) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0, \quad \overline{V_\varepsilon} = V\} > 0 \ ,$$

Since $S_{d+1}(V_{K_0}, \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon) \supset S_g(V_{K_0}, \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon) = V \cap \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$, Conjecture 1.3 i. implies an effective Bogomolov Conjecture, for weak-transverse subvarieties of A. Similarly, Conjecture 1.3 ii. implies the Mordell-Lang plus Bogomolov Theorem [10]. With effective, we mean that an explicit value for ε can be given.

We are going to prove a strong reverse implication; an Effective Bogomolov Conjecture for transverse varieties implies Conjecture 1.3. We need a lower bound for

$\mu(V)$, for V transverse, which is functorial with respect to the choice of the polarization on A. We state a weak form of [7] Conjecture 1.5 part ii. for varieties in abelian varieties.

Conjecture 1.4 (Functorial Bogomolov type bound)**.** *Let $(A, \mathcal{L})$ be a polarized abelian variety of dimension g defined over a number field $\mathbb{K}$ of degree $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$. Let X be a transverse subvariety of A with finite stabilizer. Let $\psi : A \to A$ be an isogeny. Then*

$$\mu_{\psi^*\mathcal{L}}(X) > c(g, [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}], h_{\mathcal{L}}(A)) \min_{\eta'=\pm\eta} \left(\frac{\deg_{\psi^*\mathcal{L}} A}{\deg_{\psi^*\mathcal{L}} X}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\mathrm{codX}}+\eta'}$$

where $c(g, [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}], h_{\mathcal{L}}(A))$ is a constant depending only on g, $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $h_{\mathcal{L}}(A)$.

This lower bound is expected to hold for all polarizations and for varieties which are not a union of translated of algebraic subgroups. We prefer to assume as little as possible. We only need the bound for transverse varieties. Furthermore, the assumption on the stabilizer could be deleted all along the article, if we suppose that Conjecture 1.4 holds also for varieties with stabilizer of positive dimension.

In section 6, we prove:

Theorem 1.2. *If Conjecture 1.4 holds for V then Conjecture 1.3 holds for V.*

Even if the theorem is conjectural, it is nice to see that the codimension of the algebraic subgroups is the optimal $d+1$. No other known methods, even conjectural (for example assuming the generalized Lehmer's Conjecture) give such an optimal result, for $\varepsilon > 0$. It is also worth to note that we do not need to assume Conjecture 1.4 for all varieties, but only for the variety V in question. This is an advantage with respect to other methods.

The strategy to prove Theorem 1.2 is based on two steps. A union of infinitely many sets is non Zariski-dense if and only if:

(1) the union can be taken over finitely many sets, and
(2) all sets in the union are non Zariski-dense.

The proof of (1) is a typical problem of Diophantine approximation. We approximate an algebraic subgroup with a subgroup of degree bounded by a constant. This part is an extension of the method introduced in [12] where the ambient variety is a power of an elliptic curve E^g. The ring of endomorphisms of an abelian variety can be quite more complicated than the one of E. This produces some problems to overcome.

The second step (2) is a problem of height theory. Its proof relies on Conjecture 1.4. This approach differs from the one presented in [12] and [13]. There we use a different kind of bound for the normalized height.

The only known effective bound for the essential minimum in an abelian variety in general is given by S. David and P. Philippon [6] Theorem 1.4. This bound is not sharp enough to deduce non-density statements, using the method presented in this article.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notations.

- All varieties are defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.
- For $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, the A_i are non isogenous simple abelian varieties of dimension d_i.
- $\mathcal{E}_i$ the ring of endomorfisms of A_i and t_i its rank over $\mathbb{Z}$.
- $\tau^i = (\tau^i_1, \dots, \tau^i_{t_i})$ a set of integral generators of $\mathcal{E}_i$ as $\mathbb{Z}$-module.
- $\underline{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$, $\underline{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$, $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ and $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ multi-indices of natural numbers with $g = \sum_i g_i$, $r = \sum_i r_i$ and $s = \sum_i s_i$.
- $A^{\underline{g}} = A_1^{g_1} \times \cdots \times A^{g_n}$ the ambient variety of dimension $\sum_i d_i g_i$.
- $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{E}_n$ the ring of endomorfisms of $A^{\underline{1}}$ and t its rank over $\mathbb{Z}$.
- $\tau = (\tau^1, \dots, \tau^n)$ a set of integral generators of $\mathcal{E}_i$ as $\mathbb{Z}$-module.
- V a proper irreducible algebraic subvariety of $A^{\underline{g}}$ of dimension d.
- Γ a submodule of $A^{\underline{g}}$.
- γ a set of free generators of $\Gamma^{\underline{1}}$ (see relation (2)) satisfying relation (11).
- $\varepsilon \geq 0$ a non negative real (small and usually positive).
- $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ the set of points of norm at most ε.
- $K_0 \geq 0$ a real (eventually the norm of $S_{2d+1}(V^0, \Gamma_\varepsilon)$ or $S_{2d+1}(V^0 \times p, \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon)$ if bounded).
- $V_{K_0} = V \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_0}$.
- B a proper algebraic subgroup of $A^{\underline{g}}$.
- ϕ a (weighted) surjective morphism from $A^{\underline{g}}$ to $A^{\underline{r}}$.
- $i_{\underline{r}} : A^{\underline{r}} \to A^{\underline{g}}$ an immersion, if it exists, such that $\phi \cdot i_{\underline{r}} = [a]$ for $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$.
- $\tilde{\phi} = (\phi|\phi')$ a (special) surjective morphism from $A^{\underline{g}+\underline{s}}$ to $A^{\underline{r}}$ with $\phi : A^{\underline{g}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ and $\phi' : A^{\underline{s}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$.
- $B_\phi = \ker \phi$.
- ϕ_B a weighted morphism of minimal dimension such that $B \subset \ker \phi_B$.
- $\underline{r}$ rank of B or ϕ_B.
- k the codimension of B or ϕ_B, note that $k = \sum_i d_i r_i$.
- $|\phi|$ the maximum of the (Rosati)-norm of the entries of ϕ.
- ξ, ζ points of small height.
- p a point in $A^{\underline{s}}$ of rank $\underline{s}$.
- We denote by $\ll$ an inequality up to a multiplicative constant depending on irrelevant parameters of the problem.

2.2. **The ambient variety.** In the first instance we analyse the ambient variety. Statements on boundness of heights and on non-density of sets are invariant under an isogeny of the ambient variety. Namely, given an isogeny $J : A \to A'$ between abelian varieties over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, Conjecture 1.3 (1.1 and 1.2) holds for $V \subset A$ if and only if it holds for $J(V)$ in A'. We want to fix a convenient isogeny which simplifies the setting.

In view of the decomposition theorem, an abelian variety is isogenous to a product $A_1^{g_1} \times \cdots \times A_n^{g_n}$ where the A_i are non isogenous simple abelian varieties of dimension d_i.

Let $\underline{g}$ be the multi-index $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ and $g = \sum_i g_i$. We denote by

$$A^{\underline{g}} = A_1^{g_1} \times \cdots \times A_n^{g_n}.$$

Note that the dimension of $A^{\underline{g}}$ is $\sum_i d_i g_i$.

A point $x \in A^{\underline{g}}$ has coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_g)$. We will also denote

$$x = (x^1_1, \dots, x^1_{g_1}, \dots, x^n_1, \dots, x^n_{g_n}) = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$$

meaning that $x^i_k = x_{k+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} s_j}$ and $x^i \in A_i^{g_i}$.

In the following we will use other multi-indeces: $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ with $r = \sum_i r_i$, $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ with $s = \sum_i s_i$. Always we will have

$$r_i \leq g_i.$$

We denote by $A^{\underline{r}} = A_1^{r_1} \times \cdots \times A_n^{r_n}$ and $A^{\underline{s}} = A_1^{s_1} \times \cdots \times A_n^{s_n}$.

2.3. **Subgroups.** Let M be a R-module of rank s. We define a set of free generators of M as a set of s linearly independent elements of M. If M is a free R module of rank s we call a set of s generators of M, integral generators of M.

Here we will simply say module for a module over the ring of endomorphism of an abelian variety.

Let Γ be a subgroup of $A^{\underline{g}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ of finite rank.

Note that any subgroup of finite rank of $A^{\underline{g}}$ is contained in a $\mathcal{E}$-module of finite rank. In turn a $\mathcal{E}$-module of finite rank in $A^{\underline{g}}$ is a subgroup of finite rank .

The i-th saturated module $\Gamma_i \subset A_i$ of Γ is

$$\Gamma_i = \{\phi(y) \in A_i \ : \ \phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(A^{\underline{g}}, A_i) \text{ and } Ny \in \Gamma \text{ with } N \in \mathbb{N}^*\}.$$

By $\Gamma^{\underline{r}}$ we denote $\Gamma_1^{r_1} \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n^{r_n}$. Note that, $\Gamma^{\underline{g}}$ is invariant via the image or preimage of isogenies of $A^{\underline{g}}$. Furthermore it contains Γ and it is of finite rank. This shows that to prove finiteness statements for Γ, it is enough to prove them for $\Gamma^{\underline{g}}$.

We denote by s_i the rank of Γ_i. Let $\gamma_1^i, \dots, \gamma_{s_i}^i$ be a set of free generators of Γ_i.

We denote

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^i &= (\gamma_1^i, \dots, \gamma_{s_i}^i), \\ \gamma &= (\gamma^1, \dots, \gamma^n). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Then γ is a set of free generators of $\Gamma^{\underline{1}}$. We will also denote

$$\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s)$$

meaning that $\gamma_k^i = \gamma_{k+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} s_j}$. Note that $\gamma^i \in A_i^{s_i}$ and $\gamma \in A^{\underline{s}}$.

Definition 2.1. *Let $p \in A^{\underline{g}}$ be a point. We denote by*

$$\Gamma_p = \{\phi(p) \ : \ \phi \in \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{A}^{\underline{g}})\}$$

(where ϕ does not need to be surjective). We can then consider the associated saturated module $\Gamma_p^{\underline{r}}$, defined as above.

We say that p has rank $\underline{s}$ if $\Gamma_{p,i}$ has rank s_i.

2.4. **The norm of a Morphism.** The ring of endomorphisms of $A^{\underline{g}}$ is far more complicated than the one of an elliptic curve. However, it is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of finite rank. We denote by $\mathcal{E}_i$ the ring of endomorphism of A_i. This is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank t_i. We denote by τ^i a set of t_i integral generators of $\mathcal{E}_i$. Then, a morphism $\phi_i : A_i^{g_i} \to A_i^{r_i}$ is identified with a $r_i \times g_i$ matrix with entries in $\mathcal{E}_i$.

The Rosati involution defines a norm $|\cdot|$ on $\mathcal{E}_i$. The $\mathbb{Z}$-module $(\mathcal{E}_i, |\cdot|)$ is a lattice.

For $\phi_i : A_i^{g_i} \to A_i^{r_i}$, we define $|\phi_i|$ as the maximum of the (Rosati-) norm of its entries.

Note that we can identify $\mathcal{E}_i$ either with an order in a number field or with a quaternion ring. In an order, the Rosati-norm is identified with the standard Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{C}$. A quaternion ring can be identified with a ring of matrix with entries in an order. Then, the Rosati-norm of a will be the trace of $a\bar{a}$.

Since the simple factors of $A^{\underline{g}}$ are not isogenous, a morphism $\phi : A^{\underline{g}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ is identified with a block matrix

$$\phi = [\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n] := \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \phi_n \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\phi_i : A^{g_i} \to A_i^{r_i}$. Note that $\ker \phi = \ker \phi_1 \times \cdots \times \ker \phi_n$. Furthermore $|\phi| = \max_i |\phi_i|$. There are only finitely many morphism of norm smaller than a given constant.

We finally remark that in our previous articles [12] and [13] we denote $H(\phi)$ what we denote here $|\phi|$.

2.5. **Weighted and Special morphisms.** As in the elliptic case, there are matrices which have certain advantages. We generalize the definitions given in [12] for a power of an elliptic curve. The following definitions are less restrictive, in the sense that we allow common factors of the entries and we work up to an absolute constant depending on the endomorphism ring of $A^{\underline{g}}$. Up to reordering of columns which does not mix the blocks, a weighted matrix looks like

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} a & \dots & 0 & L_1^1 & 0 & \dots & & \dots & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & \\ 0 & \dots & a & L_{r_1}^1 & 0 & \dots & & \dots & 0 \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & \\ 0 & & \dots & & 0 & a & \dots & 0 & L_1^n \\ & & & & & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & \dots & & 0 & 0 & \dots & a & L_{r_n}^n \end{pmatrix}$$

where $L_j^i : A_i^{g_i - r_i} \to A_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $|\phi| \ll |a|$. If $g_i = r_i$, we simply forget L_j^i.

Definition 2.2 (Weighted Morphisms)**.** *We say that a surjective morphism* $\phi = [\phi^i, \dots, \phi^n] : A^{\underline{g}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ *is weighted if:*

i. *there exists* $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$ *such that* aI_r *is a submatrix of* ϕ*,*
ii. $|\phi| \ll a$*.*

We associate to a weighted morphism ϕ *an embedding* $i_{\underline{r}} : A^{\underline{r}} \to A^{\underline{g}}$ *such that* $\phi \cdot i_{\underline{r}} = [a]$*.*

Definition 2.3 (Special Morphisms)**.** *We say that* $\tilde{\phi} = (\phi|\phi') : A^{\underline{g}} \times A^{\underline{s}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ *is special if:*

i. ϕ *is weighted,*
ii. $|\tilde{\phi}| \ll |\phi|$*.*

(The multiplicative constants in the previous two definitions depend only on $\mathcal{E}$ and not on the morphism.)

2.6. **Algebraic Subgroups.** By the decomposition theorem for abelian varieties, we know that an abelian subvariety of $A^{\underline{g}}$ is isogenous to a product $A^{\underline{s}}$ for some $s_i \leq g_i$. Masser and Wüstholz [9] Lemma 1.2, prove that the algebraic subgroups of $A^{\underline{g}}$ split as product of algebraic subgroups of $A_i^{g_i}$. In fact non-split algebraic subgroups would define an isogeny between the non isogenous simple factors. Then,

Lemma 2.1. *An algebraic subgroup B of $A^{\underline{g}}$ is of the form $B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n$ for B_i an algebraic subgroup of $A_i^{g_i}$. Furthermore, the codimension of B_i is $d_i r_i$ for integers $0 \leq r_i \leq g_i$.* (Recall that d_i is the dimension of A_i).

Definition 2.4. *Let $B = B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n$ be an algebraic subgroup of $A^{\underline{g}}$. Let k_i be the codimension of B_i in $A_i^{g_i}$. The rank of B_i is $r_i = k_i/d_i$ and the rank of B is $\underline{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_n)$.*

Let $\phi : A^{\underline{g}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ be a surjective morphism. The codimension of ϕ is $\sum d_i r_i$, in other words it is the codimension of $\ker \phi$.

Lemma 2.1 implies that, as in the case of E^g, an algebraic subgroup B of rank $\underline{r}$ is contained in the kernel of a surjective morphism $\phi_B : A^{\underline{g}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ and the kernel B_ϕ of a surjective morphism $\phi_B : A^{\underline{g}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ is an algebraic subgroup of rank $\underline{r}$.

Furthermore, the codimension of B_ϕ is given by

$$\mathrm{cod\ B}_\phi = \sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{d_i r_i}.$$

Also note that $r = \sum_i r_i$ is the rank of ϕ as matrix, and r_i is the rank of ϕ_i, for $\phi = [\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n]$. In a product of elliptic curves, the rank and the codimension of an algebraic subgroup coincide.

2.7. **Relations between weak-transverse and transverse varieties.** We discuss here, how we can associate to the couple (V, Γ) a weak-transverse variety V', and vice versa.

Let V be transverse in $A^{\underline{g}}$. Let Γ be a subgroup of finite rank of $A^{\underline{g}}$ and γ a set of free generators of $\Gamma^{\underline{1}}$. We define

$$V' = V \times \gamma.$$

Note that V' is not contained in any proper algebraic subgroup, because the γ_i are $\mathcal{E}_i$-linearly independent and V is transverse. So V' is weak-transverse in $A^{\underline{g}+\underline{s}}$.

Let V' be weak-transverse in $A^{\underline{n}}$. Let H_0 be the abelian subvariety of smallest dimension such that $V' \subset H_0 + p$ for $p \in H_0^\perp$ and $H_0^\perp$ an orthogonal complement of H_0. Then H_0 is isogneous to $A^{\underline{g}}$ for a multi-index $\underline{g}$ and $H_0^\perp$ is isogenous to $A^{\underline{s}}$ for $\underline{s} = \underline{n} - \underline{g}$. We fix an isogeny

$$J : A^{\underline{n}} \to H_0 \times H_0^\perp \to A^{\underline{g}} \times A^{\underline{s}},$$

which sends H_0 to $A^{\underline{g}}$ and $H_0^\perp$ to $A^{\underline{s}}$. Then $J(p) \in 0 \times A^{\underline{s}}$. Since V' is weak transverse the projection of $J(p)$ on $A^{\underline{s}}$ has rank $\underline{s}$.

We consider the natural projection

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : & A^{\underline{g}+\underline{s}} \to A^{\underline{g}} \\ & J(V') \to \pi J(V'). \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$V = \pi J(V'),$$

and

$$\Gamma = \Gamma^{\underline{1}}_{J(p)}.$$

Since H_0 has minimal dimension, the variety V is transverse in $A^{\underline{g}}$.

Note that

$$V' = (V \times 0) + J(p).$$

Statements on the boundness of height and on the Zariski non-density of sets are invariant under an isogeny. Then, without loss of generality, we can assume that a weak-transverse variety in $A^{\underline{n}}$ is of the form

$$V \times p$$

with

- V a transverse subvariety of $A^{\underline{g}}$,
- p a point in $A^{\underline{s}}$ of rank $\underline{s}$,
- $\underline{n} = \underline{g} + \underline{s}$.

2.8. **Points of small height.** On each A_i, we fix a symmetric ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}_i$. By $\mathcal{L}$ we denote the polarization on a product variety $A^{\underline{g}}$ given as the tensor product of the pull-backs of $\mathcal{L}_i$ via the natural projections on the factors. On $A^{\underline{g}}$, we consider the height of the maximum defined as

$$h(x^1, \dots, x^n) = \max_{ij}(h_i(x^i_j)),$$

where $h_i(\cdot)$ on A_i is the canonical Néron-Tate height induced by $\mathcal{L}_i$. The height h is the square of a norm $||\cdot||$ on $A^{\underline{g}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$. For a point $x \in A^{\underline{g}}$, we write $||x||$ for $||x \otimes 1||$.

The height of a non-empty set $S \subset A^{\underline{g}}$ is the supremum of the heights of its elements. The norm of S is the positive square root of its height.

For $\varepsilon \geq 0$, we denote

$$\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon = \{\xi \in A^{\underline{g}} : ||\xi|| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

For a real $K_0 \geq 0$ and a subvariety V of $A^{\underline{g}}$, we denote by

$$V_{K_0} = V \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_0}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{O}_0 = A^{\underline{g}}_{\mathrm{Tor}}$ is the torsion of $A^{\underline{g}}$ and V_0 are the torsion points on V. We define

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon = \Gamma + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon.$$

Finally, we remark that for any $x \in A^{\underline{g}}$ and any morphism ϕ,

$$||\phi(x)|| \ll |\phi| ||x||.$$

3. The approximation of the morphisms

As for curves, we want to approximate a morphism with a morphism of norm bounded by a constant. We reduce the problem of approximating a morphism of abelian varieties, to the approximation of a morphism with entries in $\mathbb{Z}$. This is done by considering $\mathcal{E}$ as a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module.

We recall Dirichlet's Theorem on the rational approximation of reals.

Theorem 3.1 (Dirichlet 1842, see [11] Theorem 1 p. 24)**.** *Suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ are n real numbers and that $Q \geq 2$ is an integer. Then there exist integers $b, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$ with*

$$1 \leq b < Q^m \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha_i b - \beta_i| \leq \frac{1}{Q}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Recall that t is the rank of $\mathcal{E}$ as $\mathbb{Z}$-module and $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_t)$ is a set of integral generators of $\mathcal{E}$. Let e_i be the canonical set of integral generators of $\mathbb{Z}^t$. The map $e_i \to \tau_i$ gives an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}^t$ and $\mathcal{E}$. Then, the natural norm induced by $\mathbb{Z}^t$ on $\mathcal{E}$ is equivalent to the Rosati-norm. This shows:

Lemma 3.1. *Given a positive integer n, there exist constants c_0 and c_1 depending on τ, t and n such that, for all $\overline{a} \in \mathcal{E}^n$, $\overline{a} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\tau_1 + \dots + \alpha_t\tau_t$ with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) \in \mathbb{Z}^{nt}$, it holds*

$$c_0|\alpha| \leq |\overline{a}| \leq c_1|\alpha|,$$

We define

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{E}} = \min_{a \in \mathcal{E}^*} |a|$$

and

$$Q_0 = 2 \max\left(1, \frac{1}{c_0}, \frac{\sum_i |\tau_i|}{\lambda_{\mathcal{E}}}\right) \tag{3}$$

where c_0 is as in Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $Q \geq Q_0$ be an integer. Then, for each non trivial $\overline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{E}^n$ there exists $b \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\overline{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{E}^n$ satisfying*

- i. $1 \leq b < Q^{nt}$,
- ii. $|\overline{b}| \ll b \ll |\overline{b}|$,
- iii. $\left|\frac{\overline{a}}{|\overline{a}|} - \frac{\overline{b}}{b}\right| \ll \frac{1}{Qb}$.

Proof. Let $\overline{a} = \alpha^1\tau_1 + \cdots + \alpha^t\tau_t$ with $\alpha^i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Define $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^t) \in \mathbb{Z}^{nt}$.

The vector $\frac{1}{|\overline{a}|}\alpha$ belongs to $\mathbb{R}^{nt}$. Applying Dirichlet's Theorem 3.1 with $m = nt$ and $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \frac{1}{|\overline{a}|}\alpha$, we deduce that there exist an integer b and integer vectors $\beta^1, \dots, \beta^n \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that

$$1 \leq b < Q^m \tag{4}$$

and

$$\left|\frac{\alpha^i}{|\overline{a}|} - \frac{\beta^i}{b}\right| \leq \frac{1}{Qb}. \tag{5}$$

The relation (4) proves part i.

Define $\overline{b} = \sum_i \beta^i\tau_i$ and $\beta = (\beta^1, \dots, \beta^t)$. By relation (5) and the triangle inequality,

$$\left|\frac{\overline{a}}{|\overline{a}|} - \frac{\overline{b}}{b}\right| = \left|\frac{\sum_i \alpha^i\tau_i}{|\overline{a}|} - \frac{\sum_i \beta^i\tau_i}{b}\right| \leq \left|\frac{\alpha^i}{|\overline{a}|} - \frac{\beta^i}{b}\right| \sum_j |\tau_j| \leq \frac{\sum_j |\tau_j|}{Qb} \ll \frac{1}{Qb}. \tag{6}$$

This proves part ii.

From relations (5) and Lemma 3.1 we deduce

$$\frac{|\beta^i|}{b} \leq \frac{1}{Qb} + \frac{|\alpha^i|}{|\overline{a}|} \leq \frac{1}{Qb} + \frac{|\alpha^i|}{c_0|\alpha|} \leq \frac{1}{Qb} + \frac{1}{c_0}.$$

Since $Q > 1/c_0$,

$$|\beta^i| \leq \frac{2}{c_0} b \text{ and } |\beta| \ll b.$$

Therefore

$$|\overline{b}| \leq |\beta| \sum_i |\tau_i| \ll b.$$

This shows the first inequality in part iii.

Let l be an index such that $|\overline{a}| = |a_l|$. By relation (6) we have

$$\left|\frac{a_l}{|\overline{a}|} - \frac{b_l}{b}\right| \leq \frac{\sum_i |\tau_i|}{Qb}.$$

Whence

$$b = b\frac{|a_l|}{|\overline{a}|} \leq \frac{\sum_i |\tau_i|}{Q} + |b_l|.$$

Since $Q > \sum_i |\tau_i| / \lambda_{\mathcal{E}}$,

$$b \ll |b_l|.$$

This shows the second inequality of part iii.

□

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\phi : A^{\underline{g}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ be a weighted morphism and $i_{\underline{r}} : A^{\underline{r}} \to A^{\underline{g}}$ such that $\phi \cdot i_{\underline{r}} = [a]$. Let $n = rg - r^2 + 1$ and $m = nt$. Let $Q \geq Q_0$, where Q_0 is as in (3). Then, there exists a surjective morphism $\psi : A^{\underline{g}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ satisfying*

i. $1 \leq b < Q^m$,
ii. $|\psi| \ll b$,
iii. $\left| \frac{\psi}{b} - \frac{\phi}{|\phi|} \right| \ll \frac{1}{Qb}$,
iv. $\psi \cdot i_{\underline{r}} = [b]$.

In particular, by ii. and iv., ψ is weighted.

Proof. Let

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} a & \cdots & 0 & L_1^1 & 0 & \cdots & & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & \\ 0 & \cdots & a & L_{r_1}^1 & 0 & \cdots & & \cdots & 0 \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & \\ 0 & & \cdots & & 0 & a & \cdots & 0 & L_1^n \\ & & & & & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & \cdots & & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a & L_{r_n}^n \end{pmatrix}$$

where $L_j^i : A_i^{g_i - r_i} \to A_i$ and

$$|\phi| \ll |a| \ll |\phi|. \tag{7}$$

If $|\phi| \leq Q^m$, no approximation is needed, as ϕ itself satisfies the consequences. Suppose now that $|\phi| \geq Q^m$. We associate to ϕ a vector

$$\overline{a} = (a, L_1^1, \ldots, L_{r_1}^1, \ldots, L_1^n, \ldots, L_{r_n}^n) \in \mathcal{E}^{rg - r^2 + 1}.$$

Note that $|\overline{a}| = |\phi|$. Apply Lemma 3.2 to the vector $\overline{a}$. Then, there exists an integer b and a vector $\overline{b}$ such that

1) $1 \leq b < Q^m$,
2) $|\overline{b}| \ll b \ll |\overline{b}|$
3) $\left| \frac{\overline{a}}{|\overline{a}|} - \frac{\overline{b}}{b} \right| \ll \frac{1}{Qb}$

We reconstruct a matrix ψ from $\overline{b}$ respecting exactly the same positional rule we used for constructing $\overline{a}$ from ϕ. Namely, let $\overline{b} = (b, L_1'^1, \ldots, L_{r_1}'^1, \ldots, L_1'^n, \ldots, L_{r_n}'^n)$, we define

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} b & \cdots & 0 & L_1'^1 & 0 & \cdots & & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & \\ 0 & \cdots & b & L_{r_1}'^1 & 0 & \cdots & & \cdots & 0 \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & \\ 0 & & \cdots & & 0 & b & \cdots & 0 & L_1'^n \\ & & & & & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & \cdots & & 0 & 0 & \cdots & b & L_{r_n}'^n \end{pmatrix}$$

1) is exactly part i.
2) implies part ii, because $|\overline{b}| = |\psi|$.
3) gives part iii.
Part iv. is evident. □

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $V \subset A^{\underline{g}}$ *be a transverse variety and let* $p \in A^{\underline{s}}$ *be a point of rank* $\underline{s}$. *Let* $\varepsilon > 0$. *There exists a real* $M > 0$ *such that to each special morphism* $\tilde{\phi} : A^{\underline{g}+\underline{s}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ *one can associate a special morphism* $\tilde{\psi} : A^{\underline{g}+\underline{s}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ *satisfying:*

i. $|\tilde{\psi}| \ll M$,
ii. $\big((V_{K_0} \times p) \cap (B_{\tilde{\phi}} + \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/M})\big) \subset \big((V_{K_0} \times p) \cap (B_{\tilde{\psi}} + \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon'/|\tilde{\psi}|})\big)$, *with* $\varepsilon' \ll \varepsilon$.

Proof. Define

$$\begin{aligned} &Q \geq \max\left(Q_0, \left\lceil \frac{K_0 + ||p||}{\varepsilon} \right\rceil\right) \quad \text{where } Q_0 \text{ is as in (3)} \\ &m = t(r(g+s) - r^2 + n) \\ &M = Q^m. \end{aligned}$$

If $|\tilde{\phi}| \leq M$, we simply define $\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\phi}$. Then $\varepsilon/M \leq \varepsilon/|\tilde{\phi}|$ and

$$(V_{K_0} \times p) \cap \left(B_{\tilde{\phi}} + \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/M}\right)$$

is contained in the right hand side.

Now, suppose that $|\tilde{\phi}| \geq M$. By Lemma 3.3 applied with $\phi = \tilde{\phi}$, there exists an integer b and a matrix $\tilde{\psi}$ such that

1) $1 \leq b < Q^m = M$.
2) $|\tilde{\psi}| \ll b \ll |\tilde{\psi}|$,
3) $\left|\frac{\tilde{\phi}}{|\tilde{\phi}|} - \frac{\tilde{\psi}}{b}\right| \ll \frac{1}{Qb}$.
4) $\tilde{\psi} \cdot i_{\underline{r}} = [b]$.

Since $\tilde{\phi}$ is special, 2) and 4) imply that also $\tilde{\psi}$ is special, as well.

Let $(x, p) \in V_{K_0} \times p$. We want to show that, if

$$\tilde{\phi}((x, p) + \xi) = 0$$

for $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/M}$, then

$$\tilde{\psi}((x, p) + \xi') = 0$$

for $\xi' \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon'/|\tilde{\psi}|}$ and $\varepsilon' \ll \varepsilon$.

Let ξ'' be a point in $A^{\underline{r}}$ such that

$$[b]\xi'' = -\tilde{\psi}(x, p).$$

Define $\xi' = i_{\underline{r}}(\xi'')$. Then

$$\tilde{\psi}(\xi') = [b]\xi'' = -\tilde{\psi}(x, p)$$

and

$$\tilde{\psi}((x, p) + \xi') = 0.$$

It follows

$$(x, p) \in (V_{K_0} \times p) \cap (B_{\tilde{\psi}} + \mathcal{O}_{||\xi'||}),$$

where $\tilde{\psi}$ is special and $|\tilde{\psi}| \ll M$.

It remains to prove that

$$||\xi'|| \ll \frac{\varepsilon}{|\tilde{\psi}|}.$$

Obviously

$$|\tilde{\phi}|\tilde{\psi}(x, p) = b\left(\tilde{\phi}(x, p) - \tilde{\phi}(x, p)\right) + |\tilde{\phi}|\tilde{\psi}(x, p).$$

It holds

$$\begin{aligned}||\xi'|| = ||\xi''|| = \frac{||\tilde{\psi}(x,p)||}{b} &= \frac{1}{|\tilde{\phi}|b}\left|\left|b\left(\tilde{\phi}(x,p) - \tilde{\phi}(x,p)\right) + |\tilde{\phi}|\tilde{\psi}(x,p)\right|\right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\tilde{\phi}|}\left|\left|\tilde{\phi}(x,p)\right|\right| + \frac{1}{|\tilde{\phi}|b}\left|\left||\tilde{\phi}|\tilde{\psi}(x,p) - b\tilde{\phi}(x,p)\right|\right|.\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the two norms on the right.

On one hand

$$\frac{||\tilde{\phi}(x,p)||}{|\tilde{\phi}|} = \frac{||\tilde{\phi}(\xi)||}{|\tilde{\phi}|} \ll ||\xi|| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{b},$$

where in the last inequality we use that $b \leq M$.

On the other hand, we assumed

$$||(x,p)|| \leq K_0 + ||p||.$$

Using relation 3) and that $Q \geq \left\lceil \frac{K_0+||p||}{\varepsilon} \right\rceil$, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|\tilde{\phi}|b}\left|\left||\tilde{\phi}|\tilde{\psi}(x,p) - b\tilde{\phi}(x,p)\right|\right| &\leq \left|\frac{\tilde{\phi}}{|\tilde{\phi}|} - \frac{\tilde{\psi}}{b}\right| ||(x,p)|| \\ &\ll \frac{||(x,p)||}{Qb} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon||(x,p)||}{(K_0 + ||p||)b} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{b}.\end{aligned}$$

By 2), we conclude

$$||\xi'|| \ll \frac{\varepsilon}{b} + \frac{\varepsilon}{b} \ll \frac{\varepsilon}{|\tilde{\psi}|}.$$

□

4. THE NON-DENSITY OF EACH INTERSECTION

We associate to a surjective morphism $\phi : A^{\underline{g}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ an isogeny of $A^{\underline{g}}$.

Definition 4.1. *To a weighted morphism* $\phi = [\phi^1, \dots, \phi^n] : A^{\underline{g}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ *with* $\phi^i = (aI_{r_i}|L^i)$ *we associate: an isogeny*

$$\Phi = [\Phi^1, \dots, \Phi^n] : A^{\underline{g}} \to A^{\underline{g}}$$

where

$$\Phi^i = \begin{pmatrix} & \phi^i & \\ 0 & | & I_{g_i - r_i} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} aI_{r_i} & L^i \\ 0 & I_{g_i - r_i} \end{pmatrix}$$

We estimate degrees.

Lemma 4.1. *The following estimates hold:*

i.
$$\deg_{\mathcal{L}} \Phi(V) \ll |\phi|^{2d} \deg_{\mathcal{L}} V.$$

ii.
$$\deg_{\mathcal{L}} \phi(V) \ll |\phi|^{2d} \deg_{\mathcal{L}} V.$$

Proof. In the first instance we show that, for an isogeny $\psi : A^{\underline{g}} \to A^{\underline{g}}$ and a divisor $X \subset A^{\underline{g}}$,

$$\deg_{\mathcal{L}} \psi^{-1}(X) \ll |\psi|^2 \deg_{\mathcal{L}} X. \tag{8}$$

Let $i_{\mathcal{L}} : A^{\underline{g}} \to \mathbb{P}^N$ be the embedding defined by $\mathcal{L}$. We denote by $z = (z_0 : \dots : z_N)$ the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^N$. Recall that the operation of sum in an abelian variety is given by polynomials in z of degree 2. Then, $i_{\mathcal{L}*}\psi(x)$ are polynomials in z of degree $\ll \max_{ji} |\psi_{ji}|^2 = |\psi|^2$, where the multiplicative constant depends on $\underline{g}$ and $\deg_{\mathcal{L}} A^{\underline{g}}$. So, If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $\deg X$ defining X, the variety $\psi^{-1}(X)$ is defined by $p(i_{\mathcal{L}*}\psi(x))$ which is a polynomial in z of degree $\ll |\psi|^2 \deg X$.

We now consider a subvariety V of dimension d. We write

$$\deg_{\mathcal{L}} \psi_*(V) = \deg_{\psi^*\mathcal{L}} V = c_1(\psi^*\mathcal{L})^d \cdot V,$$

where on the right we mean the intersection number and $c_1(\cdot)$ is a representative of the first Chern-class. By Bezout's Theorem,

$$\deg_{\mathcal{L}} \psi_*(V) \ll (\deg_{\mathcal{L}} c_1(\psi^*\mathcal{L}))^d \deg_{\mathcal{L}} V.$$

Note that $c_1(\psi^*\mathcal{L}) = \psi^{-1}c_1(\mathcal{L})$, and $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ is a divisor of degree $\deg_{\mathcal{L}} A^{\underline{g}}$. By (8), we deduce $\deg_{\mathcal{L}} c_1(\psi^*\mathcal{L}) \ll |\psi|^2 \deg_{\mathcal{L}} A^{\underline{g}}$. We conclude

$$\deg_{\mathcal{L}} \psi_*(V) \ll |\psi|^{2d} \deg_{\mathcal{L}} V, \tag{9}$$

where the multiplicative constant depends on $\underline{g}$ and $\deg_{\mathcal{L}} A^{\underline{g}}$.

Part i. is given by (9) applied to $\psi = \Phi$. Note that $\deg_{\mathcal{L}} \psi(V) \leq \deg_{\mathcal{L}} \psi_*(V)$.

In the chosen polarization, forgetting coordinates makes degrees decrease. Note that $\phi(V) = \pi\Phi(V)$, where π is the projection on r coordinates. By part i.

$$\deg_{\mathcal{L}} \phi(V) \leq \deg_{\mathcal{L}} \Phi(V) \ll |\phi|^{2d} \deg_{\mathcal{L}} V.$$

□

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that Conjecture 1.4 holds. Let $V \subset A^{\underline{g}}$ be a transverse variety with finite stabilizer. Assume that the codimension of ϕ is at least $d+1$. Then, for any $\eta > 0$, there exist effective constants $\epsilon_1(\deg V, A^{\underline{r}}, \eta)$ and $\epsilon_2(\deg V, A^{\underline{g}}, \eta)$ such that, for any point $y \in A^{\underline{g}}$,*

i.

$$\mu(\phi(V+y)) > \epsilon_1(\deg V, A^{\underline{r}}, \eta)\frac{1}{|\phi|^{d+\eta}},$$

ii.

$$\mu\left(\Phi(V+y)\right) > \epsilon_2(\deg V, A^{\underline{g}}, \eta)|\phi|^{\frac{1}{\mathrm{codV}}-\eta}.$$

Proof. i.

Since V is irreducible, transverse and defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\phi(V+y)$ is as well.

Recall that the codimension of $\phi \geq d+1$. Then $\phi(V+y) \subsetneq A^{\underline{r}}$ has codimension and dimension at least 1. Apply Conjecture 1.4 with $A = A^{\underline{r}}$, $\psi = id_{A^{\underline{r}}}$ and $X = \phi(V+y)$. Then

$$\mu_{\mathcal{L}}(\phi(V+y)) > c(A^{\underline{r}}, \eta) \min_{\eta'=\pm\eta}\left(\frac{\deg_{\mathcal{L}} A^{\underline{r}}}{\deg_{\mathcal{L}}(V+y)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\eta'}$$

Degrees are preserved by translations, hence Proposition 4.1 ii. implies

$$\deg(\phi(V+y)) = \deg\phi(V) \ll |\phi|^{2d}\deg V.$$

If follows

$$\mu_{\mathcal{L}}(\phi(V+y)) > c(A^{\underline{r}}, \eta)\frac{(\deg A^{\underline{r}})^{\frac{1}{2}-\eta}}{(\deg V)^{\frac{1}{2}+\eta}}\frac{1}{|\phi|^{d+2\eta}}.$$

Define

$$\epsilon_1(\deg V, A^{\underline{r}}, \eta) = c\left(A^{\underline{r}}, \frac{\eta}{2}\right)\frac{(\deg A^{\underline{r}})^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\eta}{2}}}{(\deg V)^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\eta}{2}}}.$$

Then

$$\mu(\phi(V+y)) > \frac{\epsilon_1(\deg V, A^{\underline{r}}, \eta)}{|\phi|^{d+\eta}}.$$

ii. Recall that, for any variety X,

$$\mu_{\Phi^*\mathcal{L}} X = \mu_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\Phi(X)\right),$$
$$\deg_{\Phi^*\mathcal{L}} X = \deg_{\mathcal{L}} \Phi_* X.$$

Apply Conjecture 1.4 with $A = A^{\underline{g}}$, $\psi = \Phi$ and $X = V + y$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\Phi(V+y)\right) &> c(A^{\underline{g}}, \eta) \min_{\eta'=\pm\eta} \left(\frac{\deg_{\Phi^*\mathcal{L}} A^{\underline{g}}}{\deg_{\Phi^*\mathcal{L}}(V+y)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\mathrm{codV}}+\eta'} \\ &= c(A^{\underline{g}}, \eta) \min_{\eta'=\pm\eta} \left(\frac{\deg_{\Phi^*\mathcal{L}} A^{\underline{g}}}{\deg_{\Phi^*\mathcal{L}}(V)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\mathrm{codV}}+\eta'}.\end{aligned}$$

Recall that (see, for instance, [8] (6.6) Corollary page 68)

$$\deg_{\Phi^*\mathcal{L}} A^{\underline{g}} = |\ker \Phi| = a^{2(\sum_i d_i r_i)}.$$

By assumption $\sum_i d_i r_i \geq d+1$ and $|\phi| \ll a$. So

$$\deg_{\Phi^*\mathcal{L}} A^{\underline{g}} \geq a^{2(d+1)} \gg |\phi|^{2(d+1)}.$$

By Lemma 4.1 i.,

$$\deg_{\Phi^*\mathcal{L}}(V) = \deg_{\mathcal{L}}(\Phi_*(V)) \ll |\phi|^{2d} \deg_{\mathcal{L}} V.$$

Thus

$$\mu_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\Phi(V+y)\right) > c'(A^{\underline{g}}, \eta) \min_{\eta'=\pm\eta} \left(\frac{|\phi|^{2d+2} \deg_{\mathcal{L}} A^{\underline{g}}}{|\phi|^{2d} \deg_{\mathcal{L}} V}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\mathrm{codV}}+\eta'}.$$

Define

$$\epsilon_2(\deg V, A^{\underline{g}}, \eta) = c'\left(A^{\underline{g}}, \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \min_{\eta'=\pm\frac{\eta}{2}} \left(\frac{\deg_{\mathcal{L}} A^{\underline{g}}}{\deg_{\mathcal{L}} V}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\mathrm{codV}}+\eta'}.$$

□

We come to the main proposition of this section; each set in the union is non Zariski-dense.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume Conjecture 1.4. Let $V \subset A^{\underline{g}}$ be a transverse variety with finite stabilizer. Then, there exists an effective $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$, for all weighted morphisms ϕ of codimension $\geq d+1$ and for all $y \in i_{\underline{r}}(A^{\underline{r}})$, the set*

$$(V_{K_0} + y) \cap \left(B_\phi + \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/|\phi|}\right)$$

is non Zariski-dense in $V + y$.

Proof. Choose $\eta \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Let

$$\epsilon_1 = \min_{\underline{r}} \epsilon_1(\deg V, A^{\underline{r}}, \eta)$$

where $\epsilon_1(\deg V, A^{\underline{r}}, \eta)$ is as in Proposition 4.1 i. and $\underline{r}$ varies over the multi-indeces such that $\sum d_i r_i \geq d+1$ and $r_i \leq g_i$. Let

$$\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_2(\deg V, A^{\underline{g}}, \eta)$$

be as in Proposition 4.1 ii. Define

$$m = \left(\frac{K_0}{\epsilon_2}\right)^{\frac{\mathrm{codV}}{1-(\mathrm{codV})\eta}},$$
$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{g} \min\left(K_0, \frac{\epsilon_1}{m^{d+1}}\right).$$

Choose

$$\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1.$$

We distinguish two cases: either $|\phi| \leq m$ or $|\phi| \geq m$.

Case (1) $|\phi| \leq m$.

Let $x+y \in (V_{K_0}+y) \cap (B_\phi + \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/|\phi|})$, where $y \in i_{\underline{r}}(A^{\underline{r}})$. Then

$$\phi(x+y) = \phi(\xi)$$

for $||\xi|| \leq \varepsilon/|\phi|$. Since $\varepsilon \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{gm^{d+1}}$ and $|\phi| \leq m$,

$$||\phi(x+y)|| = ||\phi(\xi)|| \leq g\varepsilon \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{m^{d+1}} \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{|\phi|^{d+1}}.$$

In Proposition 4.1 i. we have proven

$$\frac{\epsilon_1}{|\phi|^{d+1}} < \mu(\phi(V+y)).$$

We deduce that $\phi(x+y)$ belongs to the non Zariski-dense set

$$Z_2 = \phi(V+y) \cap \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon_1/m^{d+1}}.$$

Since V is transverse, the dimension of $\phi(V+y)$ is at least 1. Consider the restriction morphism $\phi_{|V+y} : V+y \to \phi(V+y)$. Then $x+y$ belongs to the non Zariski-dense set $\phi^{-1}_{|V+y}(Z_2)$.

Case (2) $|\phi| \geq m$.

Let $x+y \in (V_{K_0}+y) \cap \left(B_\phi + \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/|\phi|}\right)$, where $y \in i_{\underline{r}}(A^{\underline{r}})$. Then

$$\phi(x+y) = \phi(\xi)$$

for $||\xi|| \leq \varepsilon/|\phi|$ and

$$\Phi(x+y) = (\phi^1(x+y), \overline{x}^1, \dots, \phi^n(x+y), \overline{x}^n),$$

where $\overline{x}^i$ are some of the coordinates of x. So

$$||\Phi(x+y)|| \ll \max\left(||\phi(\xi)||, ||x||\right).$$

Since $||\xi|| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|\phi|}$ and $\varepsilon \leq \frac{K_0}{g}$, then

$$||\phi(\xi)|| \ll g\varepsilon \leq K_0.$$

Also $||x|| \leq K_0$, because $x \in V_{K_0}$. Thus

$$||\Phi(x+y)|| \leq K_0.$$

Since $|\phi| \geq m = \left(\frac{K_0}{\epsilon_2}\right)^{\frac{\mathrm{codV}}{1-(\mathrm{codV})\eta}}$,

$$K_0 \leq \epsilon_2 |\phi|^{\frac{1}{\mathrm{codV}}-\eta}.$$

In Proposition 4.1 we have proven

$$\epsilon_2 |\phi|^{\frac{1}{\mathrm{codV}}-\eta} < \mu(\Phi(V+y)).$$

So

$$||\Phi(x+y)|| \leq K_0 < \mu(\Phi(V+y)).$$

We deduce that $\Phi(x+y)$ belongs to the non Zariski-dense set

$$Z_1 = \Phi(V+y) \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_0}.$$

The restriction morphism $\Phi_{|V+y} : V+y \to \Phi(V+y)$ is finite, because Φ is an isogeny. Then $x+y$ belongs to the non Zariski-dense set $\Phi^{-1}_{|V+y}(Z_1)$.

□

Proposition 4.2. *Let $V \subset A^{\underline{g}}$ be transverse and let $p \in A^{\underline{s}}$ be a point of rank $\underline{s}$. Let $\tilde{\phi} = (\phi|\phi') : A^{\underline{g}+\underline{s}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ be a special morphism. Then, there exists $y \in i_{\underline{r}}(A^{\underline{r}})$ such that the map $(x,p) \to x+y$ defines an injection*

$$\left((V_{K_0} \times p) \cap \left(B_{\tilde{\phi}} + \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/|\phi|}\right)\right) \hookrightarrow \left((V_{K_0}+y) \cap \left(B_\phi + \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon'/|\phi|}\right)\right),$$

where $\varepsilon' \ll \varepsilon$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\phi} = (\phi|\phi')$ be special. By definition of special aI_r is a submatrix of ϕ and $|\phi| \ll a$. Recall that $\phi \cdot i_{\underline{r}} = [a]$.

Let $y' \in A^{\underline{r}}$ be a point such that

$$[a]y' = \phi'(p).$$

Define

$$y = i_{\underline{r}}(y').$$

Then

$$\phi(y) = [a]y' = \phi'(p) \tag{10}$$

Let

$$(x,p) \in (V_{K_0} \times p) \cap \left(B_{\tilde{\phi}} + \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/|\phi|}\right).$$

Then, there exists $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/|\phi|}$ such that

$$\tilde{\phi}((x,p)+\xi) = 0.$$

Equivalently

$$\phi(x) + \phi'(p) + \tilde{\phi}(\xi) = 0.$$

By relation (10) we deduce

$$\phi(x+y) + \tilde{\phi}(\xi) = 0.$$

Let $\xi'' \in A^{\underline{r}}$ be a point such that

$$[a]\xi'' = \tilde{\phi}(\xi).$$

Define $\xi' = i_{\underline{r}}(\xi'')$, then

$$\phi(\xi') = [a]\xi'' = \tilde{\phi}(\xi),$$

and

$$\phi(x+y+\xi') = 0.$$

Since $\tilde{\phi}$ is special $|\tilde{\phi}| \ll |\phi|$. Furthermore $||\xi|| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|\phi|}$. We deduce

$$||\xi'|| = ||\xi''|| = \frac{||\tilde{\phi}(\xi)||}{|\phi|} \ll \frac{\varepsilon}{|\phi|}.$$

In conclusion

$$(x+y) \in (V_{K_0} + y) \cap \left(B_{\phi} + \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon'/|\phi|}\right).$$

□

Corollary 4.1. *Assume Conjecture 1.4. Let V be transverse in $A^{\underline{g}}$ and let $p \in A^{\underline{s}}$ be a point of rank $\underline{s}$. Suppose that V has finite stabilizer. Then, there exists an effective $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_2$, for all special morphisms $\tilde{\phi} = (\phi|\phi')$ of codimension at least $d+1$ the set*

$$(V_{K_0} \times p) \cap \left(B_{\tilde{\phi}} + \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/|\phi|}\right)$$

is non Zariski-dense in $V \times p$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.2.

□

5. Reduction of the Problem

5.1. **Geometry of Numbers.** Let us recall and extend some properties of geomentry of numbers.

Proposition 5.1. *Let* $p = (p_1, \dots, p_s)$ *be a point of* A_0^s *of rank* s*. There exist positive effective constants* $c(p, \tau^0)$ *and* $\varepsilon_0(p, \tau^0)$ *such that*

$$c(p,\tau^0)\sum_i |b_i|^2 ||p_i||^2 \leq ||\sum_i b_i(p_i - \xi_i)||^2$$

for all $b_1, \dots, b_s \in \mathcal{E}_0$ *and for all* $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon_0(p,\tau^0)}$.

Proof. The Rosati involution defines a norm on $\mathcal{E}_0$ which is compatible with the norm on the abelian variety. Namely $||b_i p_i|| = |b_i|_{\mathcal{E}_0} ||p_i||$. Thus $(\mathcal{E}_0, |\cdot|)$ is a hermitian free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank t and $(A, ||\cdot||)$ is a hermitian $\mathcal{E}_0$-module.

The proof is then the anologue of the proof of [12] Proposition 3.3 (this proposition is written at the end of the article, after the references), where one shall read A_0 instead of E and consider $b = 0$. □

Corollary 5.1. *Let* $p \in A_0^s$ *be a points of rank* s*. Then, there exist positive effective constants* $c(p)$ *and* $\varepsilon_0(p)$ *such that, for all* $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon_0(p)}$*, for all* $\phi_0 : A_0^s \to A_0$*,*

$$c(p)|\phi_0| \ll ||\phi_0(p - \xi)||.$$

Proof. Apply Proposition 5.1, with $(b_1, \dots, b_s) = \phi_0$. Note that $\sum_i |b_i|^2 ||p_i||^2 \geq |\phi|^2 \min_i ||p_i||^2$. Then, the corollary is proven for $c(p) = c(p,\tau^0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \min_i ||p_i||$ and $\varepsilon_0(p) = \varepsilon_0(p, \tau^0)$. □

We now extend this corollary to an abelian variety in general.

Corollary 5.2. *Let* $p \in A^{\underline{s}}$ *be a point of rank* $\underline{s}$ *and let* $\phi : A^{\underline{s}} \to A^{\underline{1}}$*. Then, there exist positive constants* $c(p)$ *and* $\varepsilon_0(p)$ *such that*

$$c(p)|\phi| \ll ||\phi(p - \xi)||,$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon_0(p)}$.

Proof. Let $\phi = [\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n]$ with $\phi_i : A_i^{s_i} \to A_i$. Let $p = (p^1, \dots, p^n)$ with $p^i \in A_i^{s_i}$ of rank s_i and $\xi = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n)$ with $\xi^i \in A_i^{s_i}$.

Apply Corollary 5.1 to each block, with $A_0 = A_i$, $p = p^i$, $s = s_i$, $\phi_0 = \phi_i$, $\xi = \xi^i$. Choose $c(p)$ to be the minimum of $c(p^i)$ and $\varepsilon_0(p)$ to be the minimum of $\varepsilon_0(p^i)$. □

Lemma 5.1. *Given reals* K_0, ε *and a subgroup* $\Gamma \subset A^{\underline{g}}$ *of finite rank, there exists a set of free generators* $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s)$ *of* $\Gamma^{\underline{1}}$ *such that, for all* $\phi : A^{\underline{s}} \to A^{\underline{1}}$*, it holds*

$$(K_0 + \varepsilon)|\phi| \ll ||\phi(\gamma)||. \tag{11}$$

Proof. Let $z_1, \dots, z_{s_i}$ be free generators of Γ_i. Decompose $\Gamma_i \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}z_1 + \dots \mathbb{Q}\tau_{t_i} z_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Q}z_{s_i} + \dots \mathbb{Q}\tau_{t_i} z_{s_i}$. The proof is then an immediate application of Lemma 3.1 and [12] Lemma 3.4 (this lemma is written at the end of the article, after the references).

□

Given Γ, We fix, once and for all, a set of free generators γ of $\Gamma^{\underline{1}}$ satisfying relation (11) for $\varepsilon = K_0$.

5.2. **Reducing to weighted morphisms.** Using the Gauss algorithm we show:

Lemma 5.2. *Let* $\Delta_0 \in M_{r_0\times r_0}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ *be a matrix of rank* r_0*. Then, there exists an integer* a *and a matrix* $\Delta_0' \in M_{r_0\times r_0}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ *of rank* r_0 *such that*

$$\Delta_0'\Delta_0 = aI_{r_0}.$$

Proof. Note that the $\mathcal{E}_0$ is not necessarily commutative, it can be a quaternion, however given non zero elements $x, y \in \mathcal{E}_0$ there exist $a, b \in \mathcal{E}_0$ such that $ax = by$. This shows that one can operate a Gauss reduction using only operation on the left and without commuting elements in $\mathcal{E}_0$. In other words there exists a matrix Δ of rank r_0 such that $\Delta\Delta_0$ is a diagonal matrix. Using the norm, we can find a matrix Δ' of maximal rank r_0 such that $\Delta'\Delta\Delta_0 = [a_1, \dots, a_{r_0}]$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. Let m be the minimum common multiple of $a_1, \dots, a_{r_0}$. We define $\Delta_0' = [\frac{m}{|a_1|}, \dots, \frac{m}{|a_{r_0}|}]\Delta'\Delta$. □

This has some immediate consequences.

Lemma 5.3. *Let* $\psi : A^{\underline{g}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ *be a surjective morphism. Then, there exists an isogeny* Δ *of* $A^{\underline{r}}$ *such that* $\phi = \Delta\psi$ *is a weighted morphism. As a consequence,*

i. $B_\psi \subset B_\phi + A^{\underline{g}}_{\mathrm{Tor}}$.

ii. *For all* $\varepsilon \geq 0$*,*

$$\bigcup_{\psi\ \ \mathrm{rk}(\psi)=\underline{r}} (B_\psi + (\Gamma^{\underline{g}})_\varepsilon) \subset \bigcup_{\substack{\phi\ \ \text{weighted}\\ \mathrm{rk}(\phi)=\underline{r}}} (B_\phi + (\Gamma^{\underline{g}})_\varepsilon).$$

Proof. Let $\psi = [\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n]$. Let Δ_i be a submatrix of ψ_i of rank r_i with maximal pivots. By Lemma 5.2 applied to each Δ_i, there exist Δ_i' such that $\Delta_i'\Delta_i = a_iI_{r_i}$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let m be the mimum common multiple of the a_i. Define

$$\Delta = \left[\frac{m}{a_1}I_{r_1}, \dots, \frac{m}{a_n}I_{r_n}\right][\Delta_1', \dots, \Delta_n'].$$

□

5.3. **Reducing to special morphisms.** We prove here an important inclusion.

Proposition 5.2. *To every weighted morphism* $\phi : A^{\underline{g}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ *we can associate a special morphism* $\tilde{\phi} = (\phi|\phi') : A^{\underline{g}+\underline{s}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ *such that, for all* $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq K_0$*, the map* $x \to (x, \gamma)$ *defines an injection*

$$\left(V_{K_0} \cap (B_\phi + (\Gamma^{\underline{g}})_\varepsilon)\right) \hookrightarrow \Big((V_{K_0} \times \gamma) \cap (B_{\tilde{\phi}} + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon)\Big).$$

Proof. Recall that γ is a set of free generators of $\Gamma^{\underline{1}}$ (see definition (2)), it has rank $\underline{s}$ and it satisfies relation (11).

Let $x \in V_{K_0} \cap (B_\phi + (\Gamma^{\underline{g}})_\varepsilon)$. Then, there exist points $y \in \Gamma^{\underline{g}}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon, A^{\underline{g}}}$ such that

$$\phi(x + y + \xi) = 0.$$

As γ is a set of free generators, there exist a positive integer N and a morphism $G : A^{\underline{g}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ such that

$$Ny = G\gamma.$$

We define

$$\tilde{\phi} = (N\phi|\phi G).$$

Then

$$\tilde{\phi}((x, \gamma) + (\xi, 0)) = 0. \tag{12}$$

To prove that $\tilde{\phi}$ is special, we shall show that $|\tilde{\phi}| \ll N|\phi|$, as we already know that ϕ is weighted. Equivalently, we are going to show that $|\phi'| \ll N|\tilde{\phi}|$. Let l and

j be indices such that $|\phi'| = |\phi'_{lj}|$. Consider the l row of the equation (12). For φ_l and φ'_l the l-th rows of ϕ and ϕ' respectively, we have

$$||N\varphi_l(x+\xi)|| = ||\varphi'_l(\gamma)||.$$

Then

$$|N\phi|(||x|| + ||\xi||) \gg ||\varphi_l(x+\xi)|| = ||\varphi'_l(\gamma)||.$$

By assumption $||x|| \leq K_0$ and $||\xi|| \leq \varepsilon$. So

$$N|\phi|(K_0+\varepsilon) \gg ||\varphi'_l(\gamma)||.$$

In view of relation (11), we deduce

$$N|\phi|(K_0+\varepsilon) \gg (K_0+\varepsilon)|\varphi'_l|.$$

Thus

$$|\varphi'_l| = |\phi'| \ll N|\phi|.$$

□

5.4. **Reducing to finite stabilizers.** In the following lemma, we see that to prove Theorem 1.2 it is enough to prove it for varieties with finite stabilizer. Recall that Stab V is an algebraic subgroup of $A^{\underline{g}}$.

Lemma 5.4.
- i. *Let $X = X_1 \times A^{\underline{r}}$ be a subvariety of $A^{\underline{g}}$ of dimension d. Then, for $k \geq r$,*
$$S_k(X,F) \hookrightarrow S_{k-r}(X_1,F') \times A^{\underline{r}}$$
where F' is the projection of F on $A^{\underline{g}-\underline{r}}$.
- ii. *Let V be a (weak)-transverse subvariety of $A^{\underline{g}}$. Suppose that* Stab V *is isogenous to $A^{\underline{r}}$ with $\sum r_i \geq 1$. Then, there exists an isogeny j of $A^{\underline{g}}$ such that*
$$j(V) = V_1 \times A^{\underline{r}}$$
with V_1 (weak)-transverse in $A^{\underline{g}-\underline{r}}$ and Stab V_1 *a finite group.*
- iii. *Conjecture 1.3 holds if and only if it holds for varieties with finite stabilizer.*

The proof is the analogue of [13] Lemma 4.1 (this lemma is written at the end of the article, after the references).

5.5. **Reducing to Conjecture 1.3 ii.** In this section we are going to prove Theorem 1.1. In the first instance, we study some properties of a morphism vanishing on a point of large rank.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $p \in A^{\underline{s}}$ be a point of rank $\underline{s}$. Let $\tilde{\phi} = (\phi|\phi') : A^{\underline{g}+\underline{s}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ be a surjective morphism. Let $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0(p)$ where $\varepsilon_0(p)$ is defined as in Corollary 5.2.*

If there exists a point $(x,p) \in B_{\tilde{\phi}} + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ then
- i. *ϕ has rank $\underline{r}$,*
- ii. *There exists $\tilde{\psi} = (\psi|\psi') : A^{\underline{g}+\underline{s}} \to A^{\underline{r}}$ with ψ weighted such that*
$$B_{\tilde{\phi}} \subset B_{\tilde{\psi}} + \mathrm{Tor}_{A^{\underline{g}}}.$$

Proof. i- Suppose that the rank of ϕ is less than $\underline{r}$. Then, there exists $\lambda = [\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^n]$ with $\lambda^i \in \mathcal{E}_i^{r_i}$ such that

$$\lambda\phi = 0.$$

Let $(x,p) \in B_{\tilde{\phi}} + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$. Then, there exists $(\xi,\xi') \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ such that

$$\tilde{\phi}\left((x,p) + (\xi,\xi')\right) = 0. \tag{13}$$

So

$$\lambda\phi'(p+\xi') = -\lambda\phi(x+\xi) = 0.$$

Corollary 5.2, applied with $\phi = \lambda\phi'$ and $\xi = \xi'$ implies that $p - \xi$ has rank $\underline{s}$, whence $\lambda\phi' = 0$. So $\lambda\tilde{\phi} = 0$. This contradicts that $\tilde{\phi}$ has full rank $\underline{r}$.

ii- By part i we can assume that rank ϕ is $\underline{r}$. By Lemma 5.3 applied to ϕ, there exists an invertible Δ such that $\Delta\phi$ is weighted. Then $\tilde{\psi} = \Delta\tilde{\phi}$ satisfies ii. ☐

We can now prove a statement slightly more precise than Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 5.1 (Reformulation of Theorem 1.1)**.** *Let* $\varepsilon \geq 0$. *Then,*

i. *The map* $x \to (x, \gamma)$ *defines an injection*

$$S_k(V, \Gamma_\varepsilon) \hookrightarrow S_k(V \times \gamma, \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon).$$

ii. *Let* $p \in A^{\underline{s}}$ *be a point of rank* $\underline{s}$. *Let* $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0(p)$, *where* $\varepsilon_0(p)$ *is as in Corollary 5.2. Then, the map* $(x, p) \to x$ *defines an injection*

$$S_k(V_{K_0} \times p, \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon) \hookrightarrow S_k\left(V_{K_0}, (\Gamma^{\underline{g}}_p)_{\varepsilon'}\right),$$

where ε' *depends on* ε, p, $\underline{g}$ *and* K_0.

Proof. Part i. is an immediate consequence of Proposition 5.2.

ii) Let $(x, p) \in S_k(V_{K_0} \times p, \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon)$. Then, there exists a block matrix $\tilde{\phi} = [\tilde{\phi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_n]$ of rank $\underline{r}$ with $k \leq \sum_i d_i r_i$, and $(\zeta, \zeta') \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ such that

$$\tilde{\phi}((x, p) + (\zeta, \zeta')) = 0. \tag{14}$$

In view of Lemma 5.5, we can assume that $\tilde{\phi} = (\phi|\phi')$ with ϕ weighted. Let $aI_{\underline{r}}$ be a submatrix of ϕ with $|\phi| \ll a$ and $i_{\underline{r}} : A^{\underline{r}} \to A^{\underline{g}}$ such that $\phi \cdot i_{\underline{r}} = [a]$.

We want to show that $|\phi'| \ll |\phi|$. Let l and j be indices such that $|\phi'| = |\phi'_{lj}|$. Consider the l-th row of the equation (14). For φ_l and φ'_l the l-th rows of ϕ and ϕ' respectively, we have

$$||\varphi_l(x + \xi)|| = ||\varphi'_l(p + \xi')||.$$

Then

$$|\phi|(||x|| + ||\xi||) \gg ||\varphi_l(x + \xi)|| = ||\varphi'_l(p + \xi')||.$$

By assumption $||x|| \leq K_0$ and $||\xi|| \leq \varepsilon$. So

$$|\phi|(K_0 + \varepsilon) \gg ||\varphi'_l(p + \xi')||.$$

By Corollary 5.2 applied with $\phi = \varphi'_l$ and $\xi = -\xi'$, we deduce

$$|\phi|(K_0 + \varepsilon) \gg c(p)|\varphi'_l|.$$

Whence

$$|\phi'| = |\varphi'_l| \ll |\phi|\frac{(K_0 + \varepsilon)}{c(p)} \ll a\frac{(K_0 + \varepsilon)}{c(p)}. \tag{15}$$

We define

$$[a](y') = \phi'(p) \text{ and } y = i_{\underline{r}}(y') \in \Gamma^{\underline{g}}_p,$$
$$[a](\zeta') = \tilde{\phi}(\xi, \xi') \text{ and } \zeta = i_{\underline{r}}(\zeta') \in A^{\underline{g}},$$

Then

$$\phi(x + y + \zeta) = 0,$$

for $y \in \Gamma^{\underline{g}}_p$. We shall still show that $||\xi|| \leq \varepsilon'$. By relation (15), $\frac{|\tilde{\phi}|}{a} \ll \max\left(1, \frac{(K_0+\varepsilon)}{c(p)}\right)$ and $||(\xi, \xi')|| \leq \varepsilon$. We then obtain

$$||\zeta|| \ll \frac{|\tilde{\phi}|}{a}||(\xi, \xi')|| \ll \max\left(1, \frac{(K_0 + \varepsilon)}{c(p)}\right).$$

We conclude that

$$x \in V_{K_0} \cap (B_\phi + \Gamma^{\underline{g}}_p + \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon'}),$$

with $||\zeta|| \leq \varepsilon' \ll \varepsilon \max(1, \frac{(K_0+\varepsilon)}{c(p)})$.

□

6. The Proof of the Main Theorem

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Thanks to Theorem 1.1, to prove Theorem 1.2 is sufficient to prove that Conjecture 1.4 implies Conjecture 1.3 ii. Furthermore, in view of Lemma 5.4, we can assume that V has finite stabilizer.

Let γ be a set of generators of $\Gamma^{\underline{1}}$ satisfying relation (11) for $\varepsilon \leq K_0$. Note that $\Gamma \subset \Gamma^{\underline{g}}$. Let $\varepsilon \leq \min(K_0, \varepsilon_0(\gamma))$ where $\varepsilon_0(\gamma)$ is defined as in Corollary 5.2.

By Lemma 5.3 ii., for all $\varepsilon \geq 0$,

$$S_{d+1}(V_{K_0}, \Gamma_\varepsilon) \subset \Big(V_{K_0} \cap \bigcup_{\substack{\phi \text{ weighted} \\ \text{cod}\phi \geq d+1}} (B_\phi + (\Gamma^{\underline{g}})_\varepsilon) \Big).$$

By Proposition 5.2, for all $\varepsilon \leq K_0$,

$$\Big(V_{K_0} \cap \bigcup_{\substack{\phi \text{ weighted} \\ \text{cod}\phi \geq d+1}} (B_\phi + (\Gamma^{\underline{g}})_\varepsilon) \Big) \hookrightarrow \Big((V_{K_0} \times \gamma) \cap \bigcup_{\substack{\tilde{\phi}=(\phi|\phi') \text{ special} \\ \text{cod}\tilde{\phi} \geq d+1}} \Big(B_{\tilde{\phi}} + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \Big) \Big).$$

By Theorem 3.1, for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a positive real M such that

$$\bigcup_{\substack{\tilde{\phi}=(\phi|\phi') \text{ special} \\ \text{cod}\phi \geq d+1}} \Big((V_{K_0} \times \gamma) \cap \Big(B_{\tilde{\phi}} + \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/M} \Big) \Big) \subset \bigcup_{\substack{\tilde{\phi}=(\phi|\phi') \text{ special} \\ \text{cod}\tilde{\phi} \geq d+1 \\ |\tilde{\phi}| \ll M}} \Big((V_{K_0} \times \gamma) \cap \Big(B_{\tilde{\phi}} + \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon'/|\tilde{\phi}|} \Big) \Big).$$

Note that on the right the union is over finitely many sets, because $|\tilde{\phi}| \ll M$.

Let ε_2 be as in Corollary 4.1. Choose $\varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon_2$ (and consequently choose ε). Note that $|\phi| \leq |\tilde{\phi}|$. By Corollary 4.1,

$$(V_{K_0} \times \gamma) \cap \Big(B_{\tilde{\phi}} + \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon'/|\tilde{\phi}|} \Big)$$

is non Zariski-dense in $V \times \gamma$.

We conclude that $S_{d+1}(V_{K_0}, \Gamma_{\varepsilon/M})$ is embedded in a finite union of non Zariski-dense sets.

□

References

[1] F. Amoroso and S. David *Le problème de Lehmer en dimension supérieure.* J. reine angew. Math. 513 (1999), p. 145-179.

[2] E. Bombieri, D. Masser and U. Zannier, *Intersecting a curve with algebraic subgroups of multiplicative groups.* IMRN 20. (1999), pages1119–1140.

[3] E. Bombieri and U. Zannier, *Algebraic points on subvarieties of* G_m^n. Internat. Math. Res. Notices, 7 (1995), pages 333-347.

[4] E. Bombieri and U. Zannier, *Heights of algebraic points on suvarieties of abelian varieties.* Ann. Scuola Norm. Pisa, 23 (1996), pages 779-792.

[5] S. David and M. Hindry, *Minoration de la hauteur de Néron-Tate sur le variétés abéliennes de type C.M.*. J. reine angew. Math. 529, (2000), pages 1-74.

[6] S. David. and P. Philippon, *Minorations des hauteurs normalisées des sous-variétés de variétés abéliennes II.* Comment. Math. Helv. 77 (2002), no. 4, pages 639-700.

[7] S. David. and P. Philippon, *Minorations des hauteurs normalisées des sous-variétés des puissances des courbes elliptiques.* IMRP (2007) Vol. 2007, 113 pages.

[8] H. Lange and Ch. Birkenhake, *Complex Abelian Varieties.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1992.

[9] D. Masser and G. Wüstholz, *Isogeny estimates for abelian varieties, and finiteness theorems.* Ann. Math. 137, (1993), pages 459-472.

[10] B. Poonen, *Mordell-Lang plus Bogomolov.* Invent. math. 137 (1999), p. 413–425.

[11] W. M. Schmidt, *Diophantine Approximation.* Springer LNM 1980.

[12] E. Viada, *The intersection of a curve with a union of codimension-two traslated subgroups in a power of an elliptic curve.* To appear in Algebra and Number Theory, 50 pages.

[13] E. Viada, *Non-dense subsets of varieties in a power of an elliptic curve.* Submited.

[14] S. Zhang, *Equidistribution of small points on abelian varieties.* Ann. of Math. 147 (1998), no. 1, pages 159-165.